

**PhD in Economics and Social Sciences (13th Cycle)**  
**Econometrics test (2012-10-17)**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Say if the following statements are unambiguously true (TRUE), unambiguously false (FALSE) or impossible to classify the way they are stated (CAN'T SAY). Write the motivations to your answers only in the space provided. Answers with no motivations will not be considered.

(a) In order to use asymptotic results, the data we observe must be iid.

TRUE                          FALSE                          CAN'T SAY   

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(b) An estimator may be biased and still be consistent.

TRUE                          FALSE                          CAN'T SAY   

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(c) An estimator whose variance exists and has a non-zero limit as the sample grows without limit may still be consistent.

TRUE                          FALSE                          CAN'T SAY   

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(d) Assume that  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  and consider the two following statements: (a)  $X_n - X \xrightarrow{p} 0$  and (b)  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ . Both (a) and (b) are true.

TRUE                          FALSE                          CAN'T SAY   

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(e) If a model contains endogenous regressors, OLS returns an incorrect estimate of the conditional mean function.

TRUE                          FALSE                          CAN'T SAY   

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. The following is a model for the educational level of household heads, as per the 2010 *Survey on Household Income and Wealth* (source: Bank of Italy).

The explanatory variables are:

Variable Name	Description
ETA	Age in years
SEX	Gender (1=male)
STUPCF	Educational attainment of the individual's father
PARAVG	Average attainment of the individual's parents

In other words, the variable PARAVG is the sum of the individual's father and mother education level, divided by two.

OLS, using observations 1-7951 (n = 6571)

Missing or incomplete observations dropped: 1380

Dependent variable: STUDIO

Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, variant HC1

	coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value	
const	3.81654	0.126701	30.12	7.89e-187	***
ETA	-0.0233893	0.00132060	-17.71	1.33e-68	***
SEX	-0.255347	0.0339268	-7.526	5.91e-14	***
STUPCF	0.0467222	0.0656243	0.7120	0.4765	
PARAVG	0.765759	0.0768872	9.960	3.35e-23	***
Mean dependent var	3.866991	S.D. dependent var	1.675581		
Sum squared resid	12381.75	S.E. of regression	1.373221		
R-squared	0.328748	Adjusted R-squared	0.328339		
F(4, 6566)	649.8102	P-value (F)	0.000000		
Log-likelihood	-11405.40	Akaike criterion	22820.80		
Schwarz criterion	22854.75	Hannan-Quinn	22832.53		

- (a) Estimate the effect of father's education ( $\beta_F$ )
- (b) Estimate the effect of mother's education ( $\beta_M$ )
- (c) Carry out a test for the hypothesis that the impact on the dependent variable of the educational attainment is equal between mother and father ( $\beta_M = \beta_F$ ).
- (d) Discuss the estimation output.
3. Suppose you have a random sample of 100 i.i.d. observations  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  from  $X$  with probability density function

$$f(x, c) = \begin{cases} cx^{c-1} & \text{for } x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = -80 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x} = \frac{5}{9}$$

- (a) find a consistent estimator of  $c$ ;

(b) test  $H_0 : c = 1$  using the LM statistic.

4. Given the following model for the time series  $y_t$

$$y_t = \mu + \phi y_{t-2} + \beta_0 x_t + \beta_1 x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  is a white noise with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The model (1) has been estimated by using OLS and the results are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= 1.2 \text{ (standard error= 0.8)} \\ \hat{\phi}_2 &= 0.65 \text{ (standard error= 0.25)} \\ \hat{\beta}_0 &= 0.27 \text{ (standard error= 0.03)} \\ \hat{\beta}_1 &= -0.08 \text{ (standard error= 0.02)} \end{aligned}$$

and  $Cov(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\beta}_0) = 0$ ,  $Cov(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0.003$ ,  $Cov(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0.005$ . The estimate of the variance is  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 1$ .

(a) Classify the model (1) by selecting one (or more) of the following solutions

- ADL(1,1)     ADL(2,1)     ADL(1,2)     ADL(0,2)  
 ARMA(1,1)     ARMA(2,1)     ARMA(1,2)     ARMA(2,2)

(b) Write the null hypothesis  $H_0$  under which the model reduces to a static model.

$H_0 : \underline{\hspace{10em}}$

(c) Compute a test for the above hypothesis.

Test:                            Distribution:                            Test stat.:                          
Result:    ACCEPT        REJECT   

(d) Write the ECM form for model (1).

$\Delta y_t = \underline{\hspace{15em}}$

(e) Carry out a test for the hypothesis  $H_0 : \phi + \beta_0 + \beta_1 = 1$

Test:                            Distribution:                            Test stat.:                          
Result:    ACCEPT        REJECT   

(f) Is it possible to constrain the model in order to obtain an AR(2) model?

YES                          NO                          CAN'T SAY   

If your answer is

- YES: write the implicit parameter restrictions.  $H_0 : \underline{\hspace{15em}}$
- NO or CAN'T SAY: briefly write your reasons

---

---

---

---

---

Now let the process be

$$y_t = \mu + \phi y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where  $\varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$  and the estimated parameters  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  are the same as the above. As you know, this process can be expressed also as  $\Phi(L)y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t$ .

(a) Estimate the roots of the polynomial  $\Phi(L)$ .

Roots: \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Is model (2) stationary?

YES

NO

CAN'T SAY

(c) Compute  $E(y_t)$  and  $Var(y_t)$ .

$E(y_t) =$  \_\_\_\_\_  $Var(y_t) =$  \_\_\_\_\_

(d) The autocorrelation of order one ( $\hat{\rho}_1$ ) is

-1

1

$\hat{\phi}_1 = 0$

$\hat{\phi}_2 = 0.65$

$\hat{\phi}_1 + \hat{\phi}_2$

$\hat{\phi}_1 - \hat{\phi}_2$

$\hat{\phi}_1 / (1 - \hat{\phi}_2)$

not available